

Software Validation and Verification

Section II: Model Checking

Topic 5. Proving Program Correctness

Pedro Cabalar

Department of Computer Science and IT
University of Corunna, SPAIN
cabalar@udc.es

8 de octubre de 2019

Hoare triple

- Hoare triple:

$\{Q\} S \{R\}$ = If precondition Q initially true,
then program S terminates
satisfying postcondition R .

- A program is annotated when all its sentences (compound or atomic) are guarded by a pre and a postcondition.
- Example

```
{x = X ∧ y = Y}
t = x;
{t = X ∧ x = X ∧ y = Y}
x = y;
{t = X ∧ x = Y ∧ y = Y}
y = t
{y = X ∧ x = Y}
```

- Two adjacent assertions $\{P\}\{Q\}$ just mean $P \Rightarrow Q$

Definición 1 (Weakest Precondition wp)

$wp(S, R)$ represents all the initial states for which S terminates satisfying R

- Example: $wp("i = i + 1", i \leq 1) =$

$$\{(i, 0)\}, \{(i, -1)\}, \{(i, -2)\}, \dots \}$$

- We can represent $wp(S, R)$ using a formula. In our example:

$$wp("i = i + 1", i \leq 1) = (i \leq 0)$$

Weakest precondition wp

- wp is the **weakest formula**. For instance, $i \leq -10$ works as a precondition, but is not the weakest condition: $(i \leq -10 \Rightarrow i \leq 0)$.
- Example: assume that S is

```
if
  ::  $x \geq y \rightarrow z = x$ 
  ::  $x < y \rightarrow z = y$ 
fi
```

$$\begin{aligned}wp(S, z = \max(x, y)) &= \text{true} \\wp(S, z = y) &= x \leq y \\wp(S, z = y - 1) &= \text{false} \\wp(S, z = y + 1) &= (x = y + 1)\end{aligned}$$

- Which is the meaning of $wp(S, \text{true})$?

It represents the initial conditions that **guarantee program termination**. Example:

$$wp(\text{"do :: true} \rightarrow \text{skip od"}, \text{true}) = \text{false}$$

- **Important:** $\{Q\} S \{R\}$ holds if and only if $Q \Rightarrow wp(S, R)$ is a tautology.
- This is called the **total correction** of S with respect to Q and R .

Definición 2 (Partial correction)

The notation $Q\{S\}R$ stands by the **partial correction** of S with respect to Q y R and it means that, if S starts in a state where Q and terminates, then it satisfies R in the final state.

- Note that it **does not guarantee** termination. $Q\{S\}R$ is trivially true if S does not terminate!!!

Example:

```
true {do :: true → skip od} true
```

is a tautology.

- Properties:

- ① $wp(S, \text{false}) = \text{false}$

- ② $wp(S, \alpha) \wedge wp(S, \beta) = wp(S, \alpha \wedge \beta)$

- ③ If $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ then $wp(S, \alpha) \Rightarrow wp(S, \beta)$

- ④ $wp(S, \alpha) \vee wp(S, \beta) \Rightarrow wp(S, \alpha \vee \beta)$

- ⑤ $wp(S, \alpha) \vee wp(S, \beta) \Leftarrow wp(S, \alpha \vee \beta)$,
if S is deterministic.

- The meaning of a sentence is characterized by its *wp*

$$wp(\text{"skip"}, R) = R$$

$$wp(\text{"abort"}, R) = \text{false}$$

$$wp(\text{"S1; S2"}, R) = wp(S1, wp(S2, R))$$

- Examples:

$$wp(\text{"skip; skip"}, R) = R$$

$$wp(\text{"S1; (S2; S3)"}, R) = wp(\text{"(S1; S2); S3"}, R)$$

$$wp(\text{"S; abort"}, R) = F$$

Assignments

- Assignment “ $x = e$ ”.

Variable identifier x gets the value of expression e .

$$wp(“x := e”, R) = \text{domain}(e) \textbf{ and } R_e^x$$

where R_e^x is the textual substitution of x by e in R .

where $\text{domain}(e)$ checks that e is evaluable.

- Examples:

$$wp(“x = 5”, x = 5) = \text{true}$$

$$wp(“x = 5”, x \neq 5) = \text{false}$$

$$wp(“x = x + 1”, x = 5) = (x = 4)$$

$$wp(“x = x + 1”, x < 0) = (x < -1)$$

$$wp(“x = a/b”, x \neq 1) = (b \neq 0) \textbf{ and } a/b \neq 1$$

$$wp(“x = b[i]”, x = b[i]) = \text{inrange}(i, b) \textbf{ and } \text{true}$$

- wp for an **IF** is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} wp(\mathbf{IF}, R) = & \text{domain}(BB) \wedge BB \wedge \\ & \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp(S_1, R)) \wedge \dots \\ & \wedge (B_n \Rightarrow wp(S_n, R)) \end{aligned}$$

- Proving $Q \Rightarrow wp(\mathbf{IF}, R)$ is equivalent to prove:
 - i) $Q \Rightarrow \text{domain}(BB)$
 - ii) $Q \Rightarrow BB$
 - iii) $Q \wedge B_i \Rightarrow wp(S_i, R)$

Example

- Prove that $wp(IF, abs(x) = z)$ is `true`, where:
IF : **if** :: $x \geq 0 \rightarrow z = x \mid x \leq 0 \rightarrow z = -x$ **fi**
- $wp(\text{"if fi"}, \text{true})$
- $wp(\text{"if :: } x = 0 \rightarrow z = x + 1 \text{ :: } x = 0 \rightarrow z = 2 * x + 1 \text{ fi"}, z = 1)$

More examples

- Quotient and remainder

$$\begin{aligned} & \{q * d + r = n \wedge r \geq 0\} \\ & \text{if} \quad :: d \leq r \rightarrow r = r - d; q = q + 1 \\ & \quad \quad :: d > r \rightarrow \text{skip} \\ & \text{fi} \\ & \{q * d + r = n \wedge r \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

- $wp(\text{"if} :: a = 0 \rightarrow \text{skip fi"}', \text{true})$
- Obtain the wp for

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if} \quad :: a > b \rightarrow a = a - b \\ & \quad \quad :: b > a \rightarrow b = b - a \\ & \text{fi} \\ & \{a > 0 \wedge b > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Iterative instructions

- wp for a **do** knowing the number k of iterations $wp_k(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R})$.
- Example with $k = 3$ iterations:

$\{ wp_3(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}) ? \}$

do :: $\mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_1$:: $\mathbf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_2$:: **else** \rightarrow **break od**

$\{ \mathbf{R} \}$

is equivalent to:

if :: $\mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_1$:: $\mathbf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_2$ **fi**;

if :: $\mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_1$:: $\mathbf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_2$ **fi**;

if :: $\mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_1$:: $\mathbf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_2$ **fi**

$\{ \mathbf{R} \}$

Iterative instructions

- wp for a **do**:

- i) To stop in 0 iterations:

$$wp_0(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}) = \neg BB \wedge R$$

- ii) For stopping in $k > 0$:

$$wp_k(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}) = wp(\mathbf{IF}, wp_{k-1}(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}))$$

- iii) For any number of iterations:

$$wp(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}) = (\exists K : 0 \leq K : wp_K(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R}))$$

- **Unfeasible**. The expansion of $wp(\mathbf{DO}, \mathbf{R})$ is recursive and it would never stop.

- We use the following technique:
 - ① To prove partial correctness: we try to obtain a formula called **invariant**, true before and after each loop iteration.
 - ② To prove termination: we will look for a function t , called **bound function**, that in each iteration is > 0 and strictly decreasing.decreciente.

Instrucción iterativa

- We will usually write:

```
{Q : ...}
⟨loop initialization⟩
{inv P : ...}
{bound t : ...}
do :: B1 → S1 ··· :: Bn → Sn :: else → break od
{R : ...}
```

- BB stands for $B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$ and so $else = \neg BB$
- **Proof method**: proving five steps:
 - 1 P true before starting the loop,
 - 2 $\{P \wedge B_i\} S_i \{P\}$ in each branch,
 - 3 $P \wedge \neg BB \Rightarrow R$,
(up to this point we get **partial correctness**)
 - 4 $P \wedge BB \Rightarrow (t > 0)$,
 - 5 $\{P \wedge B_i\} t1 = t; S_i \{t < t1\}$ for each branch.
(now: **total correctness**)

- Example: Euclid's greatest common divisor

$\{Q : X > 0 \wedge Y > 0\}$

$a = X;$

$b = Y;$

$\{P : a > 0 \wedge b > 0 \wedge \text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(X, Y)\}$

$\{t : a + b\}$

do

$:: a > b \rightarrow a = a - b$

$:: a < b \rightarrow b = b - a$

$:: \text{else} \rightarrow \text{break}$

od

$\{R : a = \text{gcd}(X, Y)\}$

Euclid's greatest common divisor

1 P true before starting the loop

$$\{Q : X > 0 \wedge Y > 0\}$$

$$\{(P_Y^b)_X^a\}$$

$$a = X;$$

$$\{P_Y^b\}$$

$$b = Y;$$

$$\{P : a > 0 \wedge b > 0 \wedge \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(X, Y)\}$$

Prove that $Q \rightarrow (P_Y^b)_X^a$

$$(P_Y^b)_X^a = \underbrace{X > 0 \wedge X > 0}_Q \wedge \underbrace{\gcd(X, Y) = \gcd(X, Y)}_{\text{true}}$$

Euclid's greatest common divisor

2.1 P is invariant for loop branch 1: $a > b \wedge P \xrightarrow{?} wp("a = a - b", P)$

$$P_{a-b}^a = \underbrace{a - b > 0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ a > b}} \wedge \underbrace{b > 0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ P}} \wedge \gcd(a - b, b) = \underbrace{\gcd(X, Y)}_{= \gcd(a, b) \text{ by } P}$$

We prove that $\gcd(a - b, b) = \gcd(a, b)$ as follows:

① Any common divisor k of $a - b, b$ is a common divisor of a, b .

$$\begin{aligned} b &= k \cdot n, & a - b &= k \cdot m & k \text{ common divisor of } b, a - b \\ a - (k \cdot n) &= k \cdot m & & & \text{replace } b \text{ by } k \cdot n \\ a &= k \cdot m + k \cdot n = k \cdot (m + n) & k & \text{ is also a divisor of } a \end{aligned}$$

② Any common divisor k of a, b is also a common divisor of $a - b, b$.

$$\begin{aligned} a &= k \cdot h, & b &= k \cdot n & k \text{ common divisor of } a, b \\ a - b &= k \cdot h - k \cdot n = k \cdot (h - n) & k & \text{ is also a divisor of } a - b \end{aligned}$$

2.1 P is invariant for loop branch 2: $a < b \wedge P \xrightarrow{?} wp("b = b - a", P)$

We don't need to prove it: analogous to branch 1

We can switch roles of a and b !

3 P and exiting the loop implies R : $P \wedge a = b \stackrel{?}{\rightarrow} a = \text{gcd}(X, Y)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{a > 0 \wedge b > 0 \wedge \text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(X, Y)}_P \wedge a = b \\ \rightarrow & \underbrace{\text{gcd}(a, a)}_a = \text{gcd}(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

We have proved **partial correctness**

Euclid's greatest common divisor

4 $t > 0$ each time we enter the loop: $P \wedge a \neq b \stackrel{?}{\rightarrow} a + b > 0$
Obvious because $P \rightarrow a > 0 \wedge b > 0$ and so $a + b > 0$

5.1 Branch 1 decreases the bound function t :

$P \wedge a > b \stackrel{?}{\rightarrow} wp("t1 = a + b; a = a - b", a + b < t1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & wp("t1 = a + b; a = a - b", a + b < t1) \\ = & ((a + b < t1)_{a-b}^a)^{t1}_{a+b} \\ = & a - b + b < a + b \\ \equiv & -b < 0 \\ \equiv & b > 0 \end{aligned}$$

which holds because of P

5.2 Branch 2 decreases the bound function t : analogous to previous step. Switch roles of a and b

- Another example:

$\{b \geq 0\}$

$x = a; y = b; z = 0;$

$\{P : y \geq 0 \wedge z + x * y = a * b\}$

$\{t : y\}$

do

$:: y > 0 \wedge \text{even}(y) \quad \rightarrow y = y/2; x = x + x$

$:: \neg \text{even}(y) \quad \rightarrow y = y - 1; z = z + x$

$:: \text{else} \quad \rightarrow \text{break}$

od

$\{R : z = a * b\}$